

what ever happened to fair and balanced?

If Sinclair Broadcasting plans to show a PRO BUSH infomercial 3 weeks before the election, it must also air a PRO KERRY ad of similar length. Otherwise, it's an ILLEGAL CONTRIBUTION TO THE CAMPAIGN of Pres. Bush, and an attempt by your corp. to buy the election. It's illegal and immoral to try to influence an election in this way. Rich people and corporations don't have the right to buy more democracy than any individual citizen. You don't speak for me.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.